

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Philology
Department of Turkology and Language Theory

PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAMINATION IN THE DISCIPLINE

Code: BIYa2207
ID: 103457

«Basic English A1»

Educational programme “History with knowledge of the foreign
language”
Bachelor degree programme

Course – 2
Semester – 3
Number of credits – 5

Almaty 2024

Vocabulary: -ed / -ing adjectives
Pronunciation: sentence stress
Revise and Check Episode 2

MODULE 3

Unit 5

A. Sporting superstitions

Grammar: past tenses: simple, continuous, perfect

Vocabulary: sport

Pronunciation: [ɔ:] and [ɜ:]

Unit 5

B. #thewaywemeet

Grammar: past and present habits and states

Vocabulary: relationships

Pronunciation: the letter s, used to

Practical English Episode 3: permission and requests
Writing Task

Unit 6.

A. Behind the scenes

Grammar: passive (all tenses)

Vocabulary: cinema

Pronunciation: regular and irregular past participles
Unit 6.

B. Every picture tells a story

Grammar: modals of deduction: might, can't, must

Vocabulary: the body

Pronunciation: diphthongs

Main literature:

Literature: main, additional.

Literature: main, additional.

1. English File Fourth Edition Intermediate Student's Book by Christina Latham-Koenig, Clive Oxenden and Jerry Lambert, 2019.
2. English File Fourth Edition Intermediate Workbook Book by Christina Latham-Koenig, Clive Oxenden and Jerry Lambert, 2019.
3. McCarthy M., O'Dell F. English Vocabulary in Use. New edition. Intermediate .:- Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.
4. New headway. Intermediate Student's book. Oxford University Press, 2009.

Research infrastructure

1. English Listening Lesson Library Online
2. ManyThings.org - American English Pronunciation Practice
3. Randall's ESL Cyber Listening Lab
4. EFpodEnglish

1. THE THEMATIC PROGRAM OF THE DISCIPLINE

The aim of the discipline "Basic Foreign Language A1" is to develop students' ability to communicate effectively in the target language at an elementary level.

Learning outcomes in the discipline:

- LO 1 (cognitive) - to comprehend and interpret spoken language on familiar topics.
 - LO 2 (functional) - to engage in structured and spontaneous spoken communication on familiar matters.
 - LO 3 (functional) - to read and comprehend intermediate-level texts, identifying key information.
 - LO 4 (systematic) - to write clear, connected texts such as emails, reports, and essays.
 - LO 5 (systematic) - to use a wide range of vocabulary and grammar in both written and oral communication
- Main topics studied in the discipline.

Module 1.

Unit 1

Food: fuel or pleasure? If you really want to win

Unit 1

We are family. Describing a person

Unit 2

Ka-ching! Changing your life

SIW(students' individual work)

Vocabulary-Grammar Test

Unit 2

Race to the sun. In the office. Telling a story

Unit 3

Modern manners. Judging by appearances

SIW(students' individual work)

Vocabulary-Grammar Test

Unit 3

If at first you don't succeed, ... Renting a flat

Midterm examination

Unit 4

Back to school, aged 35. In an ideal world

SIW(students' individual work)

Vocabulary-Grammar Test

Unit 4

Still friends? A visit from a pop star

Unit 5

Slow down, you move too fast. Same planet different worlds

Midterm control 1

Mid-Term 2 total

Unit 5

Job swap. Meetings. Formal letters and a CV

Unit 6

Love in the supermarket.

See the film...get on a plane

MODULE 2

SIW(students' individual work)

Vocabulary-Grammar Test

Unit 7

Can we make our own luck? Murder mysteries

Reading. Speaking. Lexical-grammar test.

Practical English Everything in the open

Writing. An article for a magazine

Review and check What do you remember?

SIW(students' individual work)

Vocabulary-Grammar Test

2. METHODOLOGICAL INSTRUCTION FOR FINAL EXAMINATION: STANDARD WRITTEN EXAMINATION (OFFLINE)

2.1. Exam format: Standard written examination (offline).

2.2. The purpose of the written exam in the discipline " Basic English A 1" is to assess students' ability to communicate effectively in the target language, demonstrating proficiency in spoken fluency, accuracy, and comprehension within relevant contexts.

2.3. Expected results of the exam tasks:

One written exam card contains 3 questions that identify learning outcomes for the course studied and are assessed according to the criteria described below:

Question 1 - Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation. Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.

Question 2 - Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.

Question 3 - Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task. Criterion 6. Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice.

2.4. The examination procedure.

2.4.1. The standard written offline exam is conducted in accordance with the approved schedule.

2.4.2. 15 minutes before the start of the offline written exam, the teacher on duty checks the students' identities using their ID cards, and seats the students in the seats indicated on the attendance sheets.

2.4.3. In the event that a substitute person appears at the offline written exam, the teacher on duty draws up a corresponding report of violation of these Rules.

2.4.4. Late students will not be allowed to take the exam.

2.4.5. During the exam, the teacher on duty monitors students' compliance with the rules of conduct in accordance with the approved instructions.

2.4.6. At the end of the time allotted for the exam (2 astronomical hours), the teacher on duty:

1) collects examination papers;

2) puts in each work a sign of the end of writing the work in the answer sheets - the letter X;

3) provides answer sheets along with attendance sheets for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office.

2.4.7. In case of delay in providing work for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office, a corresponding act is drawn up with subsequent prosecution of the perpetrators.

2.4.8. During the exam, students are prohibited from carrying and/or using cheat sheets, cell phones, smart watches and other technical and other means that can be used for unauthorized access to auxiliary information. It is prohibited to talk with other students and strangers, or to write down your full name and/or other identifying information in your answers.

2.4.9. If a student appears for the exam and refuses to answer the ticket, passing the exam will be graded as an "F."

- 2.4.10. If there is no good reason, failure to appear for the exam will be assessed as an "F".
- 2.4.11. If a student violates one or more of these points, an Act of cancellation of the examination work (hereinafter referred to as the Act) is filled out, and a grade of "F" ("unsatisfactory") is assigned for the discipline.
- 2.4.12. For repeated violation of these Rules during the exam, the student is presented for consideration by the Faculty Council on Ethics.
- 2.4.13. The final grade for the discipline can be canceled within 1 month after the exam, if a student is found to have violated the instructions for conducting final control using distance learning technologies and/or rules of behavior during the exam: using cheat sheets, cell phones, negotiating, etc. based on recordings from surveillance cameras with filling out the Report. The act cannot be annulled or appealed.
- 2.4.14. All violations during exams are recorded in the student's transcript.

RUBRICATOR FOR CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF FINAL EXAMINATION

Discipline: _ Basic Foreign Language . Form: Standard written examination (offline).

		DESCRIPTORS				
Score		«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	«Unsatisfactory»	
Criterion		90-100 %	70-89 %	50-69 %	25-49% 0-24 %	
Question 1	Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation.	An "excellent" grade is given for an answer that contains an exhaustive explanation of the question, a detailed argumentation for each conclusion and statement, is constructed logically and consistently, and is supported by examples from the developed classroom topics.	A "good" grade is given for an answer that contains a complete but not exhaustive coverage of the issue, an abbreviated argumentation of the main points, and allows for a violation of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material. The answer contains stylistic errors and inaccurate use of terms.	A "satisfactory" grade is given for an answer that contains incomplete coverage of the questions proposed in the ticket, superficially argues the main points, and allows compositional imbalances in the presentation, violations of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material.	An "unsatisfactory" grade is given for incorrect coverage of the questions posed, erroneous argumentation, factual and verbal errors, and for the assumption of an incorrect conclusion.	An "unsatisfactory" grade is also given for ignorance of basic concepts and theories; for violation of the Rules for final control.
	Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.	A comprehensive answer with illustrated examples was given to the question; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts are used correctly and explained correctly.	The answer is not fully supported by specific examples. There are some inaccuracies.	The student does not illustrate theoretical concepts with examples from the developed class notes.	Key concepts for the training course contained in questions are interpreted with significant errors.	The student does not provide examples to support the main theoretical principles of the course.
Question 2	Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main	Excellent completion of the training assignment, a detailed, reasoned written answer to the question posed, followed by solving practical problems of the course.	Partial completion of the educational assignment, incomplete, sometimes reasoned answer to the question posed with an incomplete solution to the practical problems of the course; illiterate use of scientific language	The material is presented in fragments, in violation of logical sequence, factual and semantic inaccuracies are made, and theoretical knowledge of the course is used superficially.	An irrational method of solving a task or an insufficiently thought-out answer plan; inability to solve problems, perform tasks in general; making mistakes and omissions that	Inability to apply knowledge and algorithms to solve tasks; inability to draw conclusions and generalizations. Violation of the Rules

	problem given in the practical task.		norms in the course.		exceeds the norm.	for final control.
Question 3	Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.	Scientific concepts are freely applied to the task at hand, followed by a logical and evidence-based disclosure of the main problem.	The student's knowledge is adapted; the answers are weak structured, the answer contains minor factual errors, which he can correct independently, thanks to a leading question.	There is no meaningfulness of the material provided, there is no understanding of interdisciplinary connections.	The student finds it difficult to answer most of the additional questions on the content of the exam or does not give the correct answers.	The student did not fully understand the material. Violation of the Rules for final control.
	Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task.	Consistent, logical and correct justification of scientific principles and the applied methodology and technology, literacy, compliance with the norms of scientific language, 1-2 inaccuracies in the presentation of the material are allowed, which do not affect the generally correct conclusions.	3-4 inaccuracies in the use of conceptual material, minor errors in generalizations and conclusions are allowed, which do not affect the good overall level of task completion.	There are conclusions on the applicability of substantiated scientific provisions; there are and unconvincing; there are stylistic and grammatical errors, as well as inaccuracies in processing the results of a practical decision.	The task was completed with gross mistakes, the answers to the questions were incomplete, the conceptual material and argumentation were poorly used.	The task has not been completed, there are no answers to the questions posed, materials and analysis tools have not been used.
	Criterion 6. Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice.	The answer is illustrated with the examples and visuals. Materials, including from the student's own practice.	Analysis of 3-4 provisions of existing theories, scientific schools and directions with justification of the result obtained from one's own practice on the issue of the exam card with some inaccuracies.	Poor application of the main volume of material in accordance with the training program with difficulties in independently reproducing it in writing.	Demonstration of difficulty in providing answers to problematic questions.	Lack of ability to apply course methods when giving examples. Violation of the Rules for final control.

Formula for calculating the final grade:

Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

Example of calculating the final grade

№	Score	«Unsatisfactory»			
		«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	
Criterion 1	100	90-100 %	70-89%	50-69%	
Criterion 2			75		
Criterion 3				60	
Criterion 4				45	
Criterion 5	100				
Criterion 6				49	
				25-49%	0-24%

Final %	200	75	60	94	200 + 75 + 60 + 94 = 42.429 / 6 criteria = 71,5 Final score, as % = 72
---------	-----	----	----	----	---

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale. 72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the "Good" category according to the grading scale. Thus, with this calculation, the project will be rated 72 points "Good" in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.

Dean B.U. Dzholdasbekova

Chair of the Academic Committee on the Quality of Teaching and Learning S.D. Seidenova

Head of Department R.A. Avakova

Lecturer A.Rakymbayev

